

Section four: Communication and practical skills 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)			
Question		Score 1-5	Comments
1	The participant has good communication skills		
2	The participant has the know-how skills in his field		
3	The participant knows what he is doing and for what		
Section five: Lessons learned and outcomes			
Question		(Yes, No)	Comments
1	The participant came up with the best practices report		
2	The participant cooperated with networks during his stay		
3	The participant signed agreements with any similar companies or units		
Section six: Overall assessment on skills 1...4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: agree, 4: strongly agree)			
Question		Score 1-4	Comments
1	Leadership		
2	Creativity and innovation		
3	Time and self-management		
4	Work under pressure		
5	Ability to work in a team		
6	Planning and organizational skills		

**Annex 2 - Questionnaire for the evaluation of CTIs' staff
(to be filled by host organizations)**

Date / /		Host organisation questionnaire for units staff	Questionnaire number		
Host institute Name.....		Host institute country			
Staff Name:					
Section one: units staff background					
Q1: Organization name:					
Q1: Organization place:					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other,.....					
Section two: Commitment of the unit staff 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5	Comments		
1	The participant was committed to the visit and schedule				
2	The participant showed huge interest in the program				
3	The participant knows well his goal from the visit				
Section three: Tasks accomplishment 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5	Comments		
1	The participant accomplished his/her tasks completely				
2	The participant has innovation aspects in doing the tasks				
3	The participant knows well about ... TO BE DEFINED				

1	The training was suitable and in good environment	1	2	3	4	5
2	The study visits were relevant and useful	1	2	3	4	5
3	The people we met during the visit were of great value added.	1	2	3	4	5
5	<p>What were the biggest obstacles and problems?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Finding a proper Funding to start new innovative ideas (high risk investment) - Lack of awareness of innovation amongs the people from local community - Changing the culture to go through innovation rather than seeking for job. 					
6	<p>Your suggestions for improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - making an innovation network between Jordanian & Cypriot entrepreneurs. - Entrepreneurship should be part of the academic plan in the Universities. 					

3	<p>List the most useful lesson learned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - start-up success stories is very helpful. to be shared with our experience in Jordan - T.T.O set-up procedure. - how to find funding for a startup - government should support the Innovation centers
4	<p>How was the study visit useful to your work? What type of knowledge you will take back home?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - understanding the T.T.O services from UCL will help to setup our T.T.O - start-up management (ecosystem) is essential to make sustainable innovation

SECTION TWO: TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU GAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE FOLLOWING TOPICS YOU LEARNT?

<i>Background</i>		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
1	Leadership and presentations	1	2	3	4	5
2	Open Innovation and living labs	1	2	3	4	5
3	Transfer of technology processes	1	2	3	4	5
4	OTHER TO BE DEFINED	1	2	3	4	5
5	Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION THREE: THE HOST INSTITUTE ENVIRONMENT		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well

Annex 1 –Questionnaire for the evaluation of host organizations (to be filled by CTIs' staff trained)

Instructions: Please give your answers or comments in writing, or indicate the extent to which you gained confidence in the topics you learnt in the mobility to the EU host.
The scale is 1-5

Date <u>24/3/2017</u>	CTI staff evaluation of host institutes	Questionnaire number
Units staff name: Charalambos Anastassiou	Organization name: UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS	Host institute Country: CYPRUS
Q1: Organization place: NICOSIA		
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other.....		
SECTION ONE: UNITS STAFF BACKGROUND		
1	What are the most useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why - Engino visit. was helpful. we learned alot about the difficulty facing the innovative idea in cyprus. - Success start-up stories were very helpful. about funding, prototyping, marketing - IDEA business incubator is a real life example to share	
2	What are the least useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why All activities were helpful & Intresting	



Section four: Communication and practical skills 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)

	Question	Score 1-5	Comments
1	The participant has good communication skills		
2	The participant has the know-how skills in his field		
3	The participant knows what he is doing and for what		

Section five: Lessons learned and outcomes

	Question	(Yes, No)	Comments
1	The participant came up with the best practices report		
2	The participant cooperated with networks during his stay		
3	The participant signed agreements with any similar companies or units		

Section six: Overall assessment on skills 1...4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: agree, 4: strongly agree)

	Question	Score 1-4	Comments
1	Leadership		
2	Creativity and innovation		
3	Time and self-management		
4	Work under pressure		
5	Ability to work in a team		
6	Planning and organizational skills		

**Annex 2 - Questionnaire for the evaluation of CTIs' staff
(to be filled by host organizations)**

Date / /		Host organisation questionnaire for units staff	Questionnaire number		
Host institute Name.....			Host institute country		
Staff Name:					
Section one: units staff background					
Q1: Organization name:					
Q1: Organization place:					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other,.....					
Section two: Commitment of the unit staff 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5		Comments	
1	The participant was committed to the visit and schedule				
2	The participant showed huge interest in the program				
3	The participant knows well his goal from the visit				
Section three: Tasks accomplishment 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5		Comments	
1	The participant accomplished his/her tasks completely				
2	The participant has innovation aspects in doing the tasks				
3	The participant knows well about ... TO BE DEFINED				

1	The training was suitable and in good environment	1	2	3	4	5
2	The study visits were relevant and useful	1	2	3	4	5
3	The people we met during the visit were of great value added.	1	2	3	4	5
5	<p>What were the biggest obstacles and problems?</p> <p>some presentation is very long.</p>					
6	<p>Your suggestions for improvements:</p> <p>maybe we need some time more to increase the knowledge</p>					

3	<p>List the most useful lesson learned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * the collaboration is the base to do any work or Project. * your challenges can you solve it if you continuous work. * the network is very important to connect entrepreneurs and successful company. * you should put a roll model to the entrepreneur and startup.
4	<p>How was the study visit useful to your work? What type of knowledge you will take back home?</p> <p>the study visit is build new network and new thinking method and successful story.</p> <p>now I can connect the entrepreneurs with some success people to increase the knowledge and help them to startup.</p>

SECTION TWO: TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU GAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE FOLLOWING TOPICS YOU LEARNED?

Background		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
1	Leadership and presentations	1	2	3	4	5
2	Open Innovation and living labs	1	2	3	4	5
3	Transfer of technology processes	1	2	3	4	5
4	OTHER TO BE DEFINED	1	2	3	4	5
5	Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION THREE: THE HOST INSTITUTE ENVIRONMENT		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well

Annex 1 –Questionnaire for the evaluation of host organizations (to be filled by CTIs' staff trained)

Instructions: Please give your answers or comments in writing, or indicate the extent to which you gained confidence in the topics you learnt in the mobility to the EU host.
The scale is 1-5

	CTI staff evaluation of host institutes	Questionnaire number			
Date 24/...3../2017					
Units staff name: Charalambos Anastassiou		Host institute Country: CYPRUS			
Organization name: UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS					
Q1: Organization place: NICOSIA					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other.....					
SECTION ONE: UNITS STAFF BACKGROUND					
1	What are the most useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why IDEA because We have same organization in Jordan and I have more experience about IDEA activity and look to be partner with future competition to development the outcomes from my organization				
2	What are the least useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why Survey Results on country wide Entrepreneurship because its very long and she is not presentable interesting presentation.				



Section four: Communication and practical skills 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)

Question	Score 1-5	Comments
1 The participant has good communication skills		
2 The participant has the know-how skills in his field		
3 The participant knows what he is doing and for what		

Section five: Lessons learned and outcomes

Question	(Yes, No)	Comments
1 The participant came up with the best practices report		
2 The participant cooperated with networks during his stay		
3 The participant signed agreements with any similar companies or units		

Section six: Overall assessment on skills 1...4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: agree, 4: strongly agree)

Question	Score 1-4	Comments
1 Leadership		
2 Creativity and innovation		
3 Time and self-management		
4 Work under pressure		
5 Ability to work in a team		
6 Planning and organizational skills		

Annex 2 - Questionnaire for the evaluation of CTIs' staff (to be filled by host organizations)

Date / /	Host organisation questionnaire for units staff	Questionnaire number
Host institute Name.....	Host institute country	
Staff Name:		
Section one: units staff background		
Q1: Organization name:		
Q1: Organization place:		
Q2: Type of organization:		
1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other,.....		
Section two: Commitment of the unit staff 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)		
Question	Score 1-5	Comments
1 The participant was committed to the visit and schedule		
2 The participant showed huge interest in the program		
3 The participant knows well his goal from the visit		
Section three: Tasks accomplishment 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)		
Question	Score 1-5	Comments
1 The participant accomplished his/her tasks completely		
2 The participant has innovation aspects in doing the tasks		
3 The participant knows well about ... TO BE DEFINED		

1	The training was suitable and in good environment	1	2	3	4	5
2	The study visits were relevant and useful	1	2	3	4	5
3	The people we met during the visit were of great value added.	1	2	3	4	5
5	<p>What were the biggest obstacles and problems?</p> <p><i>The training was well organised, we didn't face any problems</i></p>					
6	<p>Your suggestions for improvements:</p> <p><i>I suggest that funding or financing organisations, should be part of fundraising processes for entrepreneurships and startups so they can offer what can they can do to them, so so my suggestion is to invite these funds as a presenters or to have field visits to such organisation.</i></p>					

3	<p>List the most useful lesson learned</p> <p>① <u>Understanding</u> of Entrepreneurship and innovation situation in cyp^{rus}</p> <p>② getting familiar with new innovation and TT concepts and processes</p> <p>③ Learning from the success stories for Entrepreneurships and innovative companies.</p>
4	<p>How was the study visit useful to your work? What type of knowledge you will take back home?</p> <p>⊗ I was very intrested in in field visits, because I got a new experience and new informations about how incubated bussiness incubators are working, and also to see startups and also innovative companies that growing up.</p>

SECTION TWO: TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU GAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE FOLLOWING TOPICS YOU LEARNT?

Background		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
1	Leadership and presentations	1	2	3	4	5
2	Open Innovation and living labs	1	2	3	4	5
3	Transfer of technology processes	1	2	3	4	5
4	OTHER TO BE DEFINED case studies business	1	2	3	4	5
5	Other (please specify) field vists (innovative company)	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION THREE: THE HOST INSTITUTE ENVIRONMENT		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well

Annex 1 –Questionnaire for the evaluation of host organizations (to be filled by CTIs' staff trained)

Instructions: Please give your answers or comments in writing, or indicate the extent to which you gained confidence in the topics you learnt in the mobility to the EU host.
The scale is 1-5

	CTI staff evaluation of host institutes	Questionnaire number			
Date <u>24/3/2017</u>					
Units staff name: Charalambos Anastassiou	Host institute Country: CYPRUS				
Organization name: UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS					
Q1: Organization place: NICOSIA					
Q2: Type of organization:					
1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other..... <u>NGO</u>					
SECTION ONE: UNITS STAFF BACKGROUND					
1	What are the most useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why ① presentations were rich and very useful, because The presenters were specialized in their fields. ② field visits were very interesting and specific ^{well} selected, and also very match to the project objectives. ③ the lessons learned were very good for me, especially to see a practical and live case studies.				
2	What are the least useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why Almost the activities were useful and well organised				



Section four: Communication and practical skills 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)			
Question		Score 1-5	Comments
1	The participant has good communication skills		
2	The participant has the know-how skills in his field		
3	The participant knows what he is doing and for what		
Section five: Lessons learned and outcomes			
Question		(Yes, No)	Comments
1	The participant came up with the best practices report		
2	The participant cooperated with networks during his stay		
3	The participant signed agreements with any similar companies or units		
Section six: Overall assessment on skills 1...4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: agree, 4: strongly agree)			
Question		Score 1-4	Comments
1	Leadership		
2	Creativity and innovation		
3	Time and self-management		
4	Work under pressure		
5	Ability to work in a team		
6	Planning and organizational skills		

**Annex 2 - Questionnaire for the evaluation of CTIs' staff
(to be filled by host organizations)**

Date .../...../.....		Host organisation questionnaire for units staff	Questionnaire number		
Host institute Name.....			Host institute country		
Staff Name:					
Section one: units staff background					
Q1: Organization name:					
Q1: Organization place:					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other,.....					
Section two: Commitment of the unit staff 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5		Comments	
1	The participant was committed to the visit and schedule				
2	The participant showed huge interest in the program				
3	The participant knows well his goal from the visit				
Section three: Tasks accomplishment 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5		Comments	
1	The participant accomplished his/her tasks completely				
2	The participant has innovation aspects in doing the tasks				
3	The participant knows well about ... TO BE DEFINED				

1	The training was suitable and in good environment	1	2	3	4	5
2	The study visits were relevant and useful	1	2	3	4	5
3	The people we met during the visit were of great value added.	1	2	3	4	5
5	<p>What were the biggest obstacles and problems?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Transportation was costly and limit the possibility of making flexible movements movements. - Distance of the place of training to the hotel. I would like prefer to have options that we can move easier from the hotel to UCY. walking is a better option. 					
6	<p>Your suggestions for improvements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - every thing was more than perfect. I wish we had a visit to entrepreneurship Center that we was visiting to have a look for the facilities they had. - maybe we need to have samples of students that they experience the Center and transfer their experience of success and their point of view. 					

3	<p>List the most useful lesson learned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - new phrases like: lean startup (Pivot), Equity, valuation, royalties. - Sources of fund are different in earlier stages than advanced stages. - every invention is different in the stages needed of to be applied to the market and transferred to business.
4	<p>How was the study visit useful to your work? What type of knowledge you will take back home?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - networking is the best part for doing the job and develop it. - awareness of some market challenges that let you go with the market and re evaluate your strategy all the time and not be restricted to what you have in mind. - partnerships and fund is a continuous need while directing invention based business.

SECTION TWO: TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU GAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE FOLLOWING TOPICS YOU LEARNT?

Background		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
1	Leadership and presentations	1	2	3	4	5
2	Open Innovation and living labs	1	2	3	4	5
3	Transfer of technology processes	1	2	3	4	5
4	OTHER TO BE DEFINED	1	2	3	4	5
5	Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5

SECTION THREE: THE HOST INSTITUTE ENVIRONMENT

Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
------------	----------	---------	------	-----------

Annex 1 –Questionnaire for the evaluation of host organizations (to be filled by CTIs' staff trained)

Instructions: Please give your answers or comments in writing, or indicate the extent to which you gained confidence in the topics you learnt in the mobility to the EU host.
The scale is 1-5

	CTI staff evaluation of host institutes	Questionnaire number			
Date <u>24/3/2017</u>					
Units staff name: Charalambos Anastassiou	Host institute Country: CYPRUS				
Organization name: UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS					
Q1: Organization place: NICOSIA					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other.....					
SECTION ONE: UNITS STAFF BACKGROUND					
1	What are the most useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why <i>- The feild visits was a real reflection for what is really going on the entrepruensorship development and technology tranfer in Cyprus.</i> <i>- The IDEA program was inspiring and the speakers were experienced the whole stages and give avery good flow of knolwedge.</i>				
2	What are the least useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why <i>Non.</i>				



Section four: Communication and practical skills 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)

Question	Score 1-5	Comments
1 The participant has good communication skills		
2 The participant has the know-how skills in his field		
3 The participant knows what he is doing and for what		

Section five: Lessons learned and outcomes

Question	(Yes, No)	Comments
1 The participant came up with the best practices report		
2 The participant cooperated with networks during his stay		
3 The participant signed agreements with any similar companies or units		

Section six: Overall assessment on skills 1...4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: agree, 4: strongly agree)

Question	Score 1-4	Comments
1 Leadership		
2 Creativity and innovation		
3 Time and self-management		
4 Work under pressure		
5 Ability to work in a team		
6 Planning and organizational skills		

**Annex 2 - Questionnaire for the evaluation of CTIs' staff
(to be filled by host organizations)**

Date / /		Host organisation questionnaire for units staff	Questionnaire number		
Host institute Name.....			Host institute country		
Staff Name:					
Section one: units staff background					
Q1: Organization name:					
Q1: Organization place:					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other,.....					
Section two: Commitment of the unit staff 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5	Comments		
1	The participant was committed to the visit and schedule				
2	The participant showed huge interest in the program				
3	The participant knows well his goal from the visit				
Section three: Tasks accomplishment 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5	Comments		
1	The participant accomplished his/her tasks completely				
2	The participant has innovation aspects in doing the tasks				
3	The participant knows well about ... TO BE DEFINED				

1	The training was suitable and in good environment	1	2	3	4	5
2	The study visits were relevant and useful	1	2	3	4	5
3	The people we met during the visit were of great value added.	1	2	3	4	5
5	<p>What were the biggest obstacles and problems?</p> <p>- Some of the lectures were very long!</p>					
6	<p>Your suggestions for improvements:</p> <p>- emphasize on visits and Interactive lectures and open dialogues.</p> <p>- Participation in real life situations</p>					

3	<p>List the <u>most useful</u> lesson learned</p> <p>Technology transfer is a journey with a team, the team should have diversity with multiple talents. Risks and challenges are part of the journey let's accept it, face it, even enjoy it. The dream is bigger, faith in one's abilities is crucial.</p>
4	<p>How was the study visit useful to your work? What type of knowledge you will take back home?</p> <p>I think this visit was very helpful for my as I have met people who faced problems that my institution are facing now such as the lack of funding, unstable regulations, and limited resources, but they succeeded in conquering these circumstances and achieve their vision.</p>

SECTION TWO: TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU GAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE FOLLOWING TOPICS YOU LEARNT?

<i>Background</i>		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
1	Leadership and presentations	1	2	3	4	5
2	Open Innovation and living labs	1	2	3	4	5
3	Transfer of technology processes	1	2	3	4	5
4	OTHER TO BE DEFINED	1	2	3	4	5
5	Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION THREE: THE HOST INSTITUTE ENVIRONMENT		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well

Annex 1 –Questionnaire for the evaluation of host organizations (to be filled by CTIs' staff trained)

Instructions: Please give your answers or comments in writing, or indicate the extent to which you gained confidence in the topics you learnt in the mobility to the EU host.
The scale is 1-5

	CTI staff evaluation of host institutes	Questionnaire number			
Date <u>24/3/2017</u>					
Units staff name: Charalambos Anastassiou	Host institute Country: CYPRUS				
Organization name: UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS					
Q1: Organization place: NICOSIA					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other.....					
SECTION ONE: UNITS STAFF BACKGROUND					
1	<p>What are the <u>most useful</u> activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why</p> <p>Most activities were very helpful, In my opinion the visit to Idea and the visit to Engino are remarkable, but I should confess that the lecture entitled "Medical Device Entrepreneurship" by Prof. Charalambos was really inspiring since it gave me an enlightenment to how infuse change and to impact a traditional culture with real-life experience and typical Pattern of thinking.</p>				
2	<p>What are the <u>least useful</u> activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why</p> <p>Although the lecture entitled "Survey on country wide entrepreneurship" was very important but I think there was some "little" contradictions, or let's say or unjustified discrepancies.</p>				



Section four: Communication and practical skills 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)

Question	Score 1-5	Comments
1 The participant has good communication skills		
2 The participant has the know-how skills in his field		
3 The participant knows what he is doing and for what		

Section five: Lessons learned and outcomes

Question	(Yes, No)	Comments
1 The participant came up with the best practices report		
2 The participant cooperated with networks during his stay		
3 The participant signed agreements with any similar companies or units		

Section six: Overall assessment on skills 1...4 (1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: agree, 4: strongly agree)

Question	Score 1-4	Comments
1 Leadership		
2 Creativity and innovation		
3 Time and self-management		
4 Work under pressure		
5 Ability to work in a team		
6 Planning and organizational skills		

**Annex 2 - Questionnaire for the evaluation of CTIs' staff
(to be filled by host organizations)**

Date / /		Host organisation questionnaire for units staff	Questionnaire number		
Host institute Name.....			Host institute country		
Staff Name:					
Section one: units staff background					
Q1: Organization name:					
Q1: Organization place:					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other,.....					
Section two: Commitment of the unit staff 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5		Comments	
1	The participant was committed to the visit and schedule				
2	The participant showed huge interest in the program				
3	The participant knows well his goal from the visit				
Section three: Tasks accomplishment 1...5 (1: very weak, 2: weak, 3: fair, 4: good, 5: excellent)					
Question		Score 1-5		Comments	
1	The participant accomplished his/her tasks completely				
2	The participant has innovation aspects in doing the tasks				
3	The participant knows well about ... TO BE DEFINED				

		1	2	3	4	5
1	The training was suitable and in good environment					5
2	The study visits were relevant and useful					5
3	The people we met during the visit were of great value added.					5
5	<p>What were the biggest obstacles and problems?</p> <p>- Transportation.</p> <p>- If we have more field studies</p>					
6	<p>Your suggestions for improvements:</p> <p>- To have more field studies.</p>					

3	<p>List the most useful lesson learned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To be update with innovation field in world institutions. - To make national network for Jordanian entrepreneurs
4	<p>How was the study visit useful to your work? What type of knowledge you will take back home?</p> <p>I have to transfer these cases to our entrepreneurs in Jordan specially for some of incubators.</p>

SECTION TWO: TO WHAT EXTENT DID YOU GAIN CONFIDENCE IN THE FOLLOWING TOPICS YOU LEARN?

<i>Background</i>		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well
1	Leadership and presentations	1	2	3	4	5
2	Open Innovation and living labs	1	2	3	4	5
3	Transfer of technology processes	1	2	3	4	5
4	OTHER TO BE DEFINED	1	2	3	4	5
5	Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5
SECTION THREE: THE HOST INSTITUTE ENVIRONMENT		Not at all	Not well	Neutral	Well	Very well

Annex 1 –Questionnaire for the evaluation of host organizations (to be filled by CTIs' staff trained)

*Instructions: Please give your answers or comments in writing, or indicate the extent to which you gained confidence in the topics you learnt in the mobility to the EU host.
The scale is 1-5*

	CTI staff evaluation of host institutes	Questionnaire number			
Date <u>24/3/2017</u>					
Units staff name: Charalambos Anastassiou	Host institute Country: CYPRUS				
Organization name: UNIVERSITY OF CYPRUS					
Q1: Organization place: NICOSIA					
Q2: Type of organization: 1. Research <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2. Public organization 3. Private 4. Other.....					
SECTION ONE: UNITS STAFF BACKGROUND					
1	What are the most useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why <i>All activities were useful, and I think field visits for IDEA and Engino.</i>				
2	What are the least useful activities/ visits you had during your stay in the EU hosts? why <i>There is No</i>				



INVENT



University of Cyprus
Research Centre for
Sustainable Energy

Participants list

S.No	First name	Signature				
		20/03/2017	21/03/2017	22/03/2017	23/03/2017	24/03/2017
1	Bashar Amer Qteashat					
2	Mohammad Ahmad Shehab Saidan					
3	Mahmoud Abuhussein					
4	Mustafa Hafez Mustafa Alsaleh					
5	Imad Hani Salman Halasah					
6	Zainab Husni Al Dabouqi					